STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF OAKLAND

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN,

VS

Case No. 19-272593-FC

NICHOLAS MAXIMILLIAN REMINGTON,

Defendant.

PRETRIAL

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VICTORIA A. VALENTINE

PONTIAC, MICHIGAN - THURSDAY, APRIL 15, 2021

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

MARC ANDREW KEAST (P69842) Oakland County Prosecutor's Office 1200 N. Telegraph Pontiac, Michigan 48341 (248) 858-0656

For the Defendant:

NEIL S. ROCKIND (P48618) Rockind Law 36400 Woodward Avenue Suite 210 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304 (248) 208-3800

RANDALL M. LEWIS (P46134) Lewis & Dickstein, PLLC 2000 Town Center Suite 2350 Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 263-6800

Videotape Transcription Provided By: Cheryl McKinney, CSMR-5594 About Town Court Reporting, Inc. 248-634-3369

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

WITNESS

PAGE

(None.)

EXHIBITS:

(None offered.)

1	Pontiac, Michigan
2	Thursday, April 15, 2021
3	
4	(At 11:25 a.m., proceedings convened.)
5	THE CLERK: Your Honor, now calling the case
6	People v Remington, 2019-272593-FC.
7	MR. KEAST: Thank you. Good morning. Marc
8	Keast on behalf of the People. The People consent to this
9	hearing via Zoom.
10	MR. ROCKIND: Neil Rockind on behalf of Nicholas
11	Remington. We consent to the hearing via Zoom.
12	MR. LEWIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Randall
13	Lewis on behalf of Mr. Remington. We consent via Zoom
14	also.
15	THE COURT: Okay, with regard to this matter,
16	this is a pretrial. We did have a motion yesterday which
17	was pretty lengthy in time. The Court is going to issue
18	an opinion with regard to the motion; however, I am going
19	to remand it down to the district court for a new
20	preliminary examination, which will be detailed in the
21	opinion.
22	So with regard to this matter, I don't think
23	that the current dates are going to be acceptable, but I
24	don't want to lose sight of anything, so I am going to put
25	you on the docket for a status conference in 60 days.
	3

1 Does that sound appropriate? 2 MR. KEAST: Yes, Judge. Once I receive the order, I'll send it to the 52-1 District Court as well. 3 It's been my experience that when a case is remanded the 4 5 circuit court sends that order, but I'll follow up with the 52-1 District Court and then I'll coordinate with 6 7 counsel on that as well. THE COURT: So Mr. Keast, one of the issues that 8 9 I'd like to address, is that I would like to have it go to 10 a new judge. 11 MR. KEAST: That would just need to be spelled 12 out in the Court's order, I believe. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Not to suggest in any manner 14 whatsoever that the judge did anything inappropriately, 15 but based upon the arguments and the admissions made in 16 the hearing, I'd like somebody with fresh eyes and doesn't 17 have the history with regard to the status of the matter to be able to review the case. 18 MR. KEAST: Certainly, Judge. As long as that is 19 20 spelled out in the Court's order, then I can indicate that 21 to the 52-1 court administrator as well. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. With regard to discovery, with regard to the 23 preliminary examination, I'm going to need affidavits that 24 discovery is going to be fully complied with and that 25 4

1 there has been not only a -- anything in possession or control and that there has been a search done with regard 2 to any and all discovery whatsoever. 3 4 MR. KEAST: Certainly, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Any questions? 6 MR. KEAST: Not from the People, thank you, 7 Judge. THE COURT: What additional time, Mr. Lewis, and 8 9 Mr. Rockind, would you need with regard to discovery? 10 MR. LEWIS: I'm sorry, Your Honor? 11 THE COURT: What additional time would you need 12 with regard to discovery? 13 MR. ROCKIND: That's difficult to answer. In 14 terms of what they're going to provide to us, you mean? 15 THE COURT: Well, they're required to provide 16 everything to you. 17 MR. ROCKIND: Right. THE COURT: Including making a search for 18 19 anything in their possession or control. So my question is, I don't know what other information, I guess time to 20 21 absorb or other avenues that you would need with regard to 22 your additional discovery. MR. ROCKIND: Forty-five days. 23 Is that enough, you think, Randy? 24 25 MR. LEWIS: Yeah. Yes. 5

1	MR. ROCKIND: I mean, it depends on what there
2	is, obviously.
3	MR. LEWIS: Right. But I'm sure if there's if
4	we need additional time, Marc will stipulate.
5	MR. KEAST: Sure.
6	THE COURT: I mean, one of the issues that I
7	raised yesterday is with regard to any witnesses, whether
8	or not they had any notes as well.
9	Anything else?
10	MR. ROCKIND: I guess the and this, I guess,
11	is something that Mr. Keast will have to figure out based
12	on the Court's ruling, but one of the issues that we
13	raised during our motion hearing was that there was
14	information in the possession of the former assistant
15	prosecutor. And I don't know that her interests are, in
16	particular, aligned with the State and the State's
17	attorneys at this point. I'm not saying that they're not,
18	but based upon the presentation of both of the parties, I
19	think there are it would seem like Mr. Keast would
20	almost have to consult with Ms. Hand, which I think is a
21	challenge. Not, I guess, she's unavailable, but there are
22	particular issues, and I don't know if Ms. Hand would be
23	needed for a hearing of some sort. I'm not sure.
24	THE COURT: Okay, so I'm not sure what you're
25	asking me, Mr. Rockind.
	6

1	MR. ROCKIND: Well, because the Court is asking
2	the prosecutor to do a diligent search, and the concern
3	that we had and then to prepare an affidavit. And the
4	question is, can Mr. Keast do that without relying on
5	Ms. Hand's representations.
6	THE COURT: Well, I guess I'm not going to tell
7	him how to proceed, but I would imagine
8	MR. ROCKIND: I know. I'm more just
9	conceptually trying to figure out how we so we had
10	obviously sought alternate remedies other than dismissal,
11	we had sought suppression of the Snapchat evidence. I
12	don't know if the Court is the Court addressing that in
13	its
14	THE COURT: I am. I am, yes.
15	MR. ROCKIND: So I don't know if, when we go
16	back, if that impacts the presentation at the exam, I
17	
	don't know if that is evidence that will be permitted.
18	Obviously, I'll wait for the Court's order on that.
19	But the reason why I bring up this issue about
20	their ability to do this diligent search is that this
21	diligent search would potentially involve communicating
22	with and relying on the representations of the previous
23	prosecutor, who has already you know, there were always
24	issues presented. And I guess if Mr. Keast is comfortable
25	doing that, or if we need a hearing with Ms. Hand, I don't
	7

1	know, I'm just sort of throwing that out there because I
2	haven't seen the Court's order. I just know that the
3	State's going to be obligated to submit affidavits. If
4	that means Mr. Keast, if that means Detective Balog, then
5	so bit it. I mean, you know, if Detective Balog is going
6	to prepare an affidavit making some representations, you
7	know, God bless him.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Keast, anything you want to
9	state, sir?
10	MR. KEAST: Judge, it's hard to answer any of
11	those questions at the moment. I think, if I may suggest a
12	course of action, I think once we obtain the Court's
13	order, then we'll have a better idea as to which direction
14	to take with any affidavits regarding discovery.
15	But this case would be remanded to the
16	52-1 District Court, at that time I'm sure counsel and I
17	can agree upon a date for preliminary examination to
18	continue. And then from there we'll have the opportunity
19	for my own diligent search of the file, speaking with the
20	witnesses, as well as counsels', regarding any discovery
21	owed to the People. So I think if I don't want to get
22	too ahead of ourselves, is basically what I'm saying,
23	Judge.
24	MR. ROCKIND: And Judge, we may be if there's
25	an issue regarding the witnesses who Ms. Hand did not
	8

1	call, but who have been the subject of, at least in one
2	respect, regarding the motion, I don't know if these
3	because there's a I explained yesterday there was a
4	pending civil lawsuit that was filed in the middle of a
5	criminal case, which of course now complicates things.
6	If the witnesses are going to assert a privilege
7	of any sort we're going to seek, in fundamental fairness,
8	that the State actually permit the witnesses to testify
9	with use immunity. And I know Mr. Keast and I, we can
10	talk about that after we've seen the order, but the
11	concern that we have, is that because of the events that
12	have transpired, that Mr. Remington's right to have the
13	case fully litigated could be compromised.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And with regard to, you're
15	requesting you're going to be requesting the
16	prosecutor's office to grant immunity to
17	MR. ROCKIND: Well, I can talk to Mr. Keast
18	about that. I'm just sort of trying to because once
19	you remand it, the district court once you remand it,
20	the district court is going to begin to want to set dates.
21	We've got 45 days for us, that we've said we would need
22	from when Mr. Keast gets that, when he submits to us, this
23	is all the discovery we have. If there's nothing new, we
24	don't need the time. If there's some more information and
25	there are affidavits we have to review, then we'll take

1	the 45 days.
2	So my concern is, is that that 45 days is what
3	we would need to review whatever new information there is
4	from Mr. Keast and the State. And then we would have
5	MR. KEAST: If I may interrupt. Are you saying
6	you'd rather the case stay in circuit court for the next
7	45 days before it's remanded?
8	MR. ROCKIND: I'm just trying to rely on the
9	Judge asked how much how much time we would need after
10	you make your final representations regarding the
11	discovery.
12	MR. LEWIS: I think that's a good idea.
13	MR. ROCKIND: We just said 45 days
14	MR. LEWIS: Neil, I think that's a good idea,
15	what Marc just said. I think that we should keep it here
16	until we're ready to do the remand order. Because we have
17	to talk to Marc about well, we have to see the Court's
18	order first on the Snapchat, but we're going to have to
19	talk to Marc.
20	THE COURT: (Indiscernible) with the Snapchat. I
21	mean, there needs to be there needs to be complete
22	transparency with regard to any and all discovery, any and
23	all information. You know, going back a year and a half to
24	make police reports and notes, I'm not satisfied with. And
25	I'm not satisfied with regard to the review, you know,
	10

1 ensuring that everything was looked for and turned over. 2 And it's not that I have any information, it's only, 3 Mr. Keast, that you weren't able to honestly answer questions, which I sincerely appreciate from your office, 4 5 that you've been completely, you know, transparent. And, 6 you know, I see a very bright road ahead with regard to 7 how things are going to change and be done differently. And your honesty with regard to not having 8 9 requested certain information from witnesses, etc., is 10 refreshing, but I need to make sure that both of our 11 functions, all of our functions in the judicial system are 12 upheld for the entire public. So I want to make sure that 13 there is enough sufficient time for you, sir, to satisfy 14 yourself and to present an affidavit that everything has 15 been searched for and that there has been -- anything 16 that's in your custody or control has been turned over. 17 MR. KEAST: I appreciate that, Judge. If I may suggest that if the Court were to order remand as part of 18 19 the written order, it could have a date, perhaps 30 or 45 20 days out, for the actual date of remand. That way we could 21 be in front of Your Honor until we go through 22 independently this file to make sure that discovery is complete. And that way, Judge, we can address it with you, 23 since you know, you know, the underlying facts of this 24 25 case and you're aware of the recent motions, you just

1 ruled on the motions. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So sir, with regard to this, let me suggest this, how about if I keep the case for 3 right now, that I reopen discovery with regard to a full 4 5 search with regard to possession, anything in possession or control, including any additional information that 6 7 Mr. Rockind or Mr. Lewis want to receive from witnesses, etc., and that you guys work together on any and all 8 9 discovery issues and I have you come back in the 30 days 10 so that we can see if you're ready to proceed back down to 11 the district court. 12 MR. KEAST: I think that sounds fair. 13 MR. LEWIS: That sounds great. Because right 14 now we're all just thinking out loud. 15 THE COURT: Okay. I understand. 16 Okay, so that's what we'll do then, I'm going to 17 have a date in approximately 30 days. It can be a little longer if you need, Mr. Busch. 18 19 THE CLERK: Thank you, Judge, that would be 20 5/20/2021, 9 a.m., if you want it on a Thursday. 21 THE COURT: You know, let's give them their own 22 separate date. They seem to require a little bit of additional time, which I think is appropriate. 23 24 THE CLERK: In that case, can we do 5/18/2021, 25 9 a.m.?

1	THE COURT: Does that work for everyone?
2	MR. KEAST: It does, Judge.
3	MR. ROCKIND: Yeah.
4	MR. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Thank you everyone.
6	(At 11:38 a.m., proceedings concluded.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	13

## CERTIFICATION

1

I certify that this transcript, consisting of 14 pages, is a true and accurate transcription, to the best of my ability, of the video proceeding in this case before the Honorable Victoria A. Valentine on Thursday, April 15, 2021, as recorded by the clerk.

Videotape proceedings were recorded and were provided to this transcriptionist by the Circuit Court and this certified reporter accepts no responsibility for any events that occurred during the above proceedings, for any inaudible and/or indiscernible responses by any person or party involved in the proceedings, or for the content of the videotape provided.

Chery mellina

/s/ Cheryl McKinney, CSMR-5594 About Town Court Reporting, Inc. 248-634-3369