
Remington Case Files

Jamie Thom <jamesgthom@gmail.com>
To: Marc A Keast <keastm@oakgov.com>

Wed, Jan 11, 2023 at 8:57 AM

Hi Marc,

Linda and I have reached out several times asking for call backs and the case files. There are several items that we can't find in what we already have. For example:

1. Cara told us there is a screenshot of a message Connor sent her and Olga telling them Nick killed Denis last night. We don't have that screenshot.
2. She also told us she gave the detectives a screenshot of Nick threatening her. Let me ask you regarding number 2, isn't threatening a witness a crime? Is that not something that the Prosecutor's Office and the police would have looked into? She told Linda she's terrified now that Nick is out of jail.
3. Do you have the timestamp from Snapchat for the Snap from Remington's account while he was in custody? We have no doubt that it was orchestrated by Remington. We have the warrant requesting the logs, but not the logs themselves. We need this ASAP because Linda has some insights that could very well break the case. She wants to hold off on sharing with you what is going on until she has the timestamp, as well as our phone logs from ATT on September 26 and 27, 2019. Also, you told us that your investigative team was unable to determine the IP address from which the Snap was posted. We would like to hire our own IT experts to pursue this and hopefully help your case. So, would you also send us whatever you used in that investigation?

Please know, it's been almost three years, but Linda finally mustered up the courage to read the case files over the past few weeks. As you can imagine, it's been very hard for her, especially finding issues with the case that need to be addressed. I ask that you put yourself, or imagine your wife, in her shoes. She's not sleeping, she's having trouble eating and just wants to get these few things so she can move forward. I know you're busy, but would you please call me and send us the files? Is the end of business today reasonable? If not, please give me a date/time that you can commit to.

Thanks,
Jamie

Remington Case Files

Keast, Marc A <keastm@oakgov.com>
To: Jamie Thom <jamesgthom@gmail.com>
Cc: "Lynch, Vince" <lynchv@oakgov.com>

Wed, Jan 11, 2023 at 10:26 AM

What is your address? I was going to send them to your attorney, but I can send them direct if you prefer.

I have never seen or heard of a screenshot sent from Connor with any admission of the defendant. That would obviously be extremely important. I've forwarded all emails sent to me, including your notes from your conversation with Cara to Investigator Vincent Lynch. He is also the investigator who made contact with Austin. Austin has been in and out of jail and has recently been involuntary committed, he is not a reliable source of information. Investigator Lynch will contact Cara however, as she may have more insight.

If Cara did tell the detective that she was threatened that information was not relayed to the prosecution. Intimidation of a witness is a crime, and it is one that I have prosecuted in the past and would do so again.

I don't recall as I sit here now if we have a timestamp on the Snap. I'll look through the file again before I send you the logs you requested. I believe we have that, and it was used in the subsequent search warrant. Snapchat accounts are easily linked to other users, so I have no doubt that a friend of the defendant created that post to sew doubt into the reliability. That was never a concern to me, which is why I was so perplexed that it wasn't disclosed to defense.

I sincerely empathize with everything you and Linda have been through. I cannot imagine. However, I take issue with the accusations in previous emails that I have engaged in unethical conduct. Nothing could be further from the truth.

If you hear of anything that could be of use, please email Investigator Lynch at lynchv@oakgov.com. As a prosecutor, I have no investigative authority so everything gets forwarded to him anyway. Thank you,

Marc Keast

From: Jamie Thom <jamesgthom@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2023 8:58 AM
To: Keast, Marc A <keastm@oakgov.com>
Subject: Remington Case Files

CAUTION: This message is from a sender outside of the Oakland County organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

[Quoted text hidden]



Jamie Thom <jamesgthom@gmail.com>

Remington Case Files

Jamie Thom <jamesgthom@gmail.com>
To: "Keast, Marc A" <keastm@oakgov.com>

Wed, Jan 11, 2023 at 11:43 AM

Thanks Marc, I appreciate the information. A few things:

A) Did you see the request for the information you used to track down the IP of the device used to post the Snap? If you would clarify what that was, we'd appreciate it.

B) I don't think you're an unethical prosecutor. All I know is what I heard on the phone that day, and it was you saying you can't piss off Valentine because you have other cases in front of her. You felt the risk/reward of potentially angering her by appealing the decision was too high considering the likelihood of winning the appeal.

C) The rescheduled probable cause conference was set for October 20, 2021. You decided two days prior to dismiss the case. Why did it take until two days before for you to dismiss it? We knew that Valentine had quashed the Remington Snap evidence back in March. You even said you didn't necessarily need Remington's Snap accounts because you could go after Connor's, Paul's, Cara's, etc. Also, you said you would put Connor and Paul on the stand. We'd love clarification on this.

Thanks,
Jamie

[Quoted text hidden]



Jamie Thom <jamesgthom@gmail.com>

Remington Case Files

Keast, Marc A <keastm@oakgov.com>
To: Jamie Thom <jamesgthom@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 11, 2023 at 12:40 PM

"Pissing off" a judge is of no concern to me. If that was your takeaway from our conversation, then misunderstood our conversation.

The case was dismissed by my office after I consulted with the Chief of Litigation, Chief Assistant Prosecutor, and the Prosecuting Attorney. It was dismissed on 2/28/22 because the snapchat records did not contain an admission of guilt and as I explained to you on the phone in February of 2022, in a letter, and on the phone again recently, they are not reliable. The case was dismissed only after I requested Inv. Lynch reinterview Connor, as his testimony would be the only remaining reliable witness. I just reviewed the snap chat records again, and the interviews from Cara and Olga. There are not any screen shots of thefts contained in the investigative report, and no statements made by the defendant to either individual. Once it was clear that we did not have evidence contained in the snapchat records available to use our only avenue for continued prosecution was witness testimony. We had none, so the case had to be dismissed.

I'm sending Inv. Lynch to reinterview Cara to find out what she is talking about regarding the threats.

I need a current address to send this information to, or I can send to Mike Morris. Just let me know what you prefer and I'll have it sent.

[Quoted text hidden]



Jamie Thom <jamesgthom@gmail.com>

Thumb Drive Content

Jamie Thom <jamesgthom@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 31, 2023 at 8:58 AM

To: Marc A Keast <keastm@oakgov.com>

Cc: Chris Filiatraut <chris@855mikewins.com>, Majlinda Thom <lindathom2013@yahoo.com>

Hi Marc,

Below is a link to the contents of the thumb drive you sent us. We can't find the files associated with the search warrant Balog submitted on October 3, 2019 and received by Snapchat on November 21, 2019 (see attached). Maybe they're there, I just can't find them. If so, would you please let me know which files they are? If not, would you please send those to our attorney, Chris Filiatraut?

[Keast Snapchat Files](#)

Sincerely,
Jamie Thom

 **Snap Inc_Search Warrant-10-3-19.pdf**
586K